# Police Powers, 2nd Edition Errata

## Chapter 4

Page 110, paragraph 3 incorrectly references *R v Willis*, [2003] 1 SCR 127. The correct case is ***R v Wills*,** [**1992 CanLII 2780, 7 OR (3d) 337 (CA)**](http://canlii.ca/t/1npnl).

In that case**,**the police officer persuaded the accused to voluntarily take a breathalyzer after he already passed a test on a roadside screening device (ASD), arguing it would assist him in a civil lawsuit. The accused did not **consent** to a seizure of his breath sample, since he was not aware of potential consequences of giving consent. *R v Wills* is an often-cited case on the subject of consent, and it is often paired with the case of *R v Borden*, mentioned in the next sentence.

**Update January 2022**

Page 104: Form 7 has been updated since the publication of the text. The new form can be found at <https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/page-167.html>.

Page 152, third line: The text states that an undertaking is Form 12. It is actually Form 10.